

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,129	06/05/2006	William H. Punzel	353.327	8711
23598 BOVI E EDED	7590 01/08/2008 PRICKSON S.C.		EXAMINER	
840 North Plankinton Avenue MILWAUKEE, WI 53203			KUHN, MART K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3637	
		·		
		•	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@boylefred.com

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	′ S,					
Examiner Mart K. Kuhn 3637 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	′ S,					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	′ S,					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	′ S,					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 October 2007</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3-13,25-27,30-32,34,39-41 and 44-46 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-13,25-27,30-32,34,39-41 and 44-46</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 October 2007</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 22 October 2007. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections—35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 25–26 and 39–40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over König, DE 197 00 330, in view of Yenglin et al., US patent 6,056,376. König discloses a cabinet (10) defining an interior; a door arrangement (14) movable between open and closed positions; differently configured storage modules (22, 34, 34.2, 37, 39) adapted to be mounted within the cabinet interior, and sets of storage modules mounted within the cabinet (Figs. 1, 2); firearm storage modules (22, 34, 34.2) configured to support and store firearms (30), and sets of firearm storage modules forming firearm storage arrangements (Figs. 1, 2); and shelf-type storage components (37, 39) secured within the cabinet along with the firearm storage modules. König thus teaches a cabinet system as claimed, with the exception of a door arrangement having a pair of folding door sections movable to a retracted position within the interior of the cabinet. Yenglin et al. teach a storage cabinet (10) defining an interior; and having a door arrangement with a pair of folding door sections (20, 21), the door sections being movable between a closed position (Figs. 1, 4) preventing access to the cabinet interior and an open position (Fig. 5) granting access thereto, and being movable (via sliding hinge 40) to a retracted position (Fig. 5, right side) when in the open position. It would have been obvious, to one of

Art Unit: 3637

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the cabinet of König by replacing the single door with a pair of retractile folding sections, as taught by Yenglin et al., for the purpose of enabling the door arrangement to be tucked out of the way when not being used to secure the cabinet contents. Regarding claims 25–26, König and Yenglin et al. teach a cabinet structure meeting every claimed structural and functional limitation, thereby inherently teaching the claimed method steps of providing and mounting.

4. Claims 4–6, 9–10, 27, 30–32, 34, 41 and 44–45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over König and Yenglin et al. as applied to claims 3, 26 and 39 above, and further in view of Sells et al., US patent 4,868,975. Regarding claims 4, 27 and 41, König discloses firearm storage modules including stock rests (22) and barrel supports (34, 34.2), but not pistol supports. Sells et al. disclose a storage cabinet having firearm storage modules including stock rests (50), barrel supports (60, 70, 110), and pistol supports (150). It would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the cabinet of König, already modified by Yenglin et al. to include a retractile folding door arrangement, by including a pistol support, as taught by Sells et al., for the purpose of enabling the cabinet to contain and secure a wide variety of firearms.

Regarding claim 5, König teaches a stock rest configured for engagement with a lower wall of the cabinet (Fig. 1), but not one having spaced apart recesses. Sells et al. teach a stock rest (50) having spaced apart recesses (see Figs. 1, 2), each defining an area for an individual firearm (R, S). It would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the cabinet of König, already modified as above, by including spaced apart recesses in the stock rest, as taught by Sells et al., for the purpose of defining individual areas for the stocks of the supported firearms.

Art Unit: 3637

Regarding claims 6, 30–31 and 44–45, König teaches a cabinet having a plurality of barrel rests (34, 34.2) secured above the stock rest via engagement with a mounting member (38); the mounting member extending between a pair of spaced structural members (18, 20), and being capable of supporting a variety of structures. It would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the cabinet of König, already modified as above and including pistol supports, by supporting the pistol supports on the mounting member.

Regarding claims 9, 10, 32 and 34, Konig teaches rests and supports having a mounting section (52.2) and a barrel support section (34, 34.2), the mounting section having an engagement member (54) engaging a selected one of a plurality of spaced openings (36) in the mounting member.

Regarding claims 27, 30–32 and 34, König, Yenglin et al. and Sells et al. teach every claimed structural and functional limitation, thereby inherently teaching the claimed method steps of providing, mounting, and securing.

5. Claims 7, 8 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over König, Yenglin et al. and Sells et al. as applied to claims 6 and 45 above, and further in view of Lavin et al., US patent 3,495,717. König teaches a mounting member (38) extending between a pair of spaced apart vertical support members (18, 20), but not a mounting member engaging a vertically spaced engagement structure on the vertical support members whereby the elevation of the support member can be adjusted. Lavin et al. disclose a cabinet (1) containing a mounting member (7) with a plurality of openings (23) engaged by a variety of supports and rests (28), the mounting member having end sections (10, 12) mating with and engaging spaced openings in vertical support members (5), allowing the mounting member to be raised and lowered for convenience and accessibility. It would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art at

Art Unit: 3637

the time the invention was made, to modify the cabinet of König, already modified by Yenglin et

Page 5

al. and Sells et al., by including mating engagement structure on the vertical support members

and the mounting member, as taught by Lavin et al., for the purpose of enabling the vertical

repositioning of the mounting member.

6. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over König,

Yenglin et al. and Sells et al., as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Bentley, US

patent 5,271,174. König teaches firearm supports with mounting sections (52.2) configured to

engage the mounting member (38), and a mounting member with a series of laterally spaced

openings (36) engaging the mounting sections; but König, Yenglin et al. and Sells et al. do not

teach pistol supports with axial support members configured to be received within the barrel of a

pistol. Bentley teaches a pistol support (10) having a mounting section (42) configured to en-

gage a mounting surface, and an outwardly extending axial support member (23, 24) configured

to be received within the barrel (14) of a pistol (12). It would have been obvious, to one of ordi-

nary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the cabinet of König, already

modified by Yenglin et al. and Sells et al., by including on each pistol support a mounting sec-

tion to engage the mounting member and an axial support member to be received within the

barrel of a pistol, as taught by Bentley, for the purpose of positively and safely securing a pistol

in the cabinet.

7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over König and

Yenglin et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Fildes, US patent 1,811,312.

Yenglin et al. teach folding door sections having inner (20b, 21a) and outer (20a, 21b) sections,

the inner sections being adjacent each other when in a closed position, and a locking arrange-

ment (50) for the door arrangement; but König and Yenglin et al. do not teach a locking arrange-

Art Unit: 3637

ment having latch members and control members on each door section. Fildes teaches a cabinet having a door arrangement with a pair of folding door sections (A, B, C; A', B', C'), each having an inner member (C, C') and outer door members (A, B; A', B'), the folding door sections being movable between closed and open positions; and a locking arrangement, including latch members (25, 26) carried by each folding door section and movable between engaged and release positions, and control members (30–33) carried by each folding door section and movable between first and second positions; the control members overlying the inner door members in the first position and being secured together (as by 40; page 2, liens 124–128). Thus, König and Yenglin et al. teach a cabinet system which differs from the claimed device only by the substitution of one latch arrangement for another; and the claimed latch arrangement and its function were known in the art, as shown by Fildes. One of ordinary skill in the art could have modified the cabinet of König, already modified by Yenglin et al. as above, by substituting the latch mechanism of Fildes for the latch mechanism of Yenglin et al., with predictable results.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments, see page 11, filed 22 October 2007, with respect to the object-tions to the drawings, specification, and claims, and the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objections and rejections have been withdrawn.
- 9. Applicant's arguments, see pages 11–16, filed 22 October 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 25 and 39 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of König and Yenglin et al., as set forth above. As noted above, König teaches a cabinet system substantially as claimed, and Yenglin et al. teach a retractile door arrangement as claimed, which could have been substituted for the door arrangement of König with predictable results.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,129 Page 7

Art Unit: 3637

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure and is cited on form PTO-892 enclosed herewith.

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant

is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Mart K. Kuhn whose telephone number is (571) 272-8926. The examiner

can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's super-

visor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organi-

zation where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,129 Page 8

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MKK MKK 12/27/2007

ANET M. WILKENS
PRIMARY EXAMINER